| 1 2 | RICHARD M. FRANCO (CBN 170970)<br>LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO<br>6500 Estates Drive |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3   | Oakland, CA 94611<br>Ph: 510-684-1022   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | Attorney for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.                              |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | JOSEPH LEWCZAK (CBN 314356)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | Email: jlewczak@dglaw.com<br>DAVIS & GILBERT LLP  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | 12575 Beatrice Street<br>Los Angeles, CA 90066  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | CHRIS HOLLAND (CBN 164053)<br>Email: cholland@hollandlawllp.com                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | HOLLAND LAW LLP<br>220 Montgomery Street, Suite 800                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | San Francisco, CA 94104   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | Attorneys for Defendant   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and doing business as<br>ORGANIXX                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | COUNTY OF ALAMEDA   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,  | CASE NO. RG18928439   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | INC., a non-profit California corporation,  | STIPULATED CONSENT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | Plaintiff,  | JUDGMENT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  | VS.   | Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and<br>doing business as ORGANIXX, a Nevada           | Action Filed: November 14, 2018<br>Trial Date: None set     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  | limited liability company,  | IIIai Date. Noic set  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24  | Defendant.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26  | 1. INTRODUCTION   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27  | 1.1.1 On November 14, 2018, P   | laintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28  | ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private en                                      | nforcer and in the public interest, initiated this<br>of 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | STIPULATED CO   | NSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439                          |  |  |  |  |  |

action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and doing business as ORGANIXX ("ORGANIXX"). In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by ORGANIXX contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals listed under Proposition 65 as carcinogens and reproductive toxins, and expose consumers to these chemicals at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are:

- · Organixx Bone Broth Protein Smooth Chocolate (lead)
- Organixx OrganiGreens Activated Green Juice (lead, cadmium)
- Organixx Detoxx<sup>1</sup> Colon and Lymphatic System Support (lead)
- Organixx OrganiZymes Live Digestive Enzymes (lead)
- Organixx Bone Broth Protein Creamy Vanilla (lead)
- · Organixx Multi-Vita-Maxx Daily Multi-Vitamin Plus Mineral (lead)
- Organixx Turmeric 3D Fermentation Activated Immune Support (lead)
- Organixx 7M + Immune Support (lead)
- Organixx OrganiGreens Pure Activated Green Juice (lead)
- 1.2 ERC and ORGANIXX are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that defendant is a business entity which has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
  ORGANIXX manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
  - 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notices of Violation Page 2 of 19

dated May 29, 2018 and August 21, 2018 that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and ORGANIXX ("Notices"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated May 29, 2018 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated August 21, 2018 is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notices were served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and ORGANIXX and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against ORGANIXX with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.6 ERC's Notices and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead and/or cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. ORGANIXX denies all material allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment solely in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid the burden of prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment and the negotiations and discussions leading up to it nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law and each of the Parties denies liability for all claims any other Party had, has, or may have against them.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter Page 3 of 19

jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over ORGANIXX as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, ORGANIXX shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" of more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that ORGANIXX knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, excluding, pursuant to Section 3.1.4, amounts of allowances of lead in the ingredients listed in Table 1 below. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.
- 3.1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of cadmium per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the

28

product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of cadmium exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.1.4 In calculating the Daily Lead Exposure Level for a Covered Product, ORGANIXX shall be allowed to deduct the amount of lead which is deemed "naturally occurring" in any ingredient listed in Table 1 that is contained in that Covered Product under the following conditions: For each year that ORGANIXX claims entitlement to a "naturally occurring" allowance, ORGANIXX shall provide ERC with the following information: (a) ORGANIXX must produce to ERC a list of each ingredient in the Covered Product for which a "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed; (b) ORGANIXX must provide ERC with documentation of laboratory testing conducted during the year for which the "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed, that complies with Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 and that shows the amount of lead, if any, contained in any ingredient listed in Table 1 that is contained in the Covered Product and for which ORGANIXX intends to deduct "naturally occurring" lead; (c) If the laboratory testing reveals the presence of lead in any ingredient listed in Table 1 that is contained in the Covered Product, ORGANIXX shall be entitled to deduct up to the full amount of the allowance for that ingredient, as listed in Table 1, but not to exceed the total amount of lead actually contained in that ingredient in the Covered Product; and (d) If the Covered Product does not contain an ingredient listed in Table 1, ORGANIXX shall not be entitled to a deduction for "naturally occurring" lead in the Covered Product for that ingredient.

The information required by Sections 3.1.4 (a) and (b) shall be provided to ERC within thirty (30) days after the date that ORGANIXX provides written notice to ERC of its intention to claim entitlement to the "naturally occurring" allowance and must be provided to ERC at least once during each year that a "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed. ERC shall maintain the confidentiality of the information provided by ORGANIXX pursuant to Sections 3.1.4 (a) and (b) in accordance with the terms of the Confidentiality Agreement entered into between the Parties.

| NGREDIENT           | ALLOWANCES OF AMOUNT OF LEAD |
|---------------------|------------------------------|
| alcium (Elemental)  | Up to 0.8 micrograms/gram    |
| errous Fumarate     | Up to 0.4 micrograms/gram    |
| ine Oxide           | Up to 8.0 micrograms/gram    |
| fagnesium Oxide     | Up to 0.4 micrograms/gram    |
| fagnesium Carbonate | Up to 0.332 micrograms/gram  |
| 1agnesium Hydroxide | Up to 0.4 micrograms/gram    |
| inc Gluconate       | Up to 0.8 micrograms/gram    |
| otassium Chloride   | Up to 1.1 micrograms/gram    |
| ocoa Powder         | Up to 1.0 micrograms/gram    |
| hocolate Liquor     | Up to 1.0 micrograms/gram    |
| ocoa Butter         | Up to 0.1 micrograms/gram    |

## 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If ORGANIXX is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1 with respect to a Covered Product, one of the following warnings must be utilized ("Warning") in connection with such Covered Product:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] [and] [cadmium] which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

- Or

▲ WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

ORGANIXX shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if ORGANIXX has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if ORGANIXX has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer

 warning. As identified in the brackets, the warning shall appropriately reflect whether there is lead, cadmium, or both chemicals present in each of the Covered Products.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning. In no event shall any internet or website Warning be contained in or made through a link.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of ORGANIXX's product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

ORGANIXX must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

#### 3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is a Covered Product manufactured for sale in California and either distributed in California or sold in California for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" is no more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

#### 3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 If ORGANIXX intends to manufacture for sale in California or either Page 7 of 19

- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level," the highest lead and/or cadmium detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.

3.4.5 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, ORGANIXX shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. ERC shall maintain the confidentiality of such lab reports in accordance with the confidentiality agreement in effect between the Parties as of the date of the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment.
ORGANIXX shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, ORGANIXX shall make a total payment of \$119,500.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC in two equal consecutive monthly payments, with the first payment due within 10 days of the Effective Date or March 1, 2019, whichever is later ("Due Date"). ORGANIXX shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give ORGANIXX the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$44,168.06 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$33,126.04) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$11,042.02) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$5,355.32 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$33,126.00 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and Page 9 of 19

28

3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and/or cadmium and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead and/or cadmium. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%); maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%); maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$16,335.00 shall be distributed to the Law Office of Richard M. Franco as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$20,515.62 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.6 In the event that ORGANIXX fails to remit any payment owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the relevant due dates, ORGANIXX shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to ORGANIXX via electronic mail. If ORGANIXX fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, ORGANIXX agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with all collection efforts in the event any court action is necessary to collect payments due under this Consent Judgment if ERC prevails in that action either by obtaining a judgment or settlement.

## 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If ORGANIXX seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then ORGANIXX must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to ORGANIXX within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Page 11 of 19

Intent. If ERC notifies ORGANIXX in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to ORGANIXX a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- 5.3 In the event that ORGANIXX initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a motion or application by ORGANIXX for a modification of the Consent Judgment, ORGANIXX shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application. However, ERC shall not be reimbursed for costs or attorney's fees incurred in connection with the time spent in any meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing an uncontested motion or application, a motion to amend the Consent Judgment to be consistent with applicable revisions to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, for a ministerial motion (such as a change in name or contact information) or if ERC does not expend more than two (2) hours of attorney time on the motion.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

# 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform ORGANIXX in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information Page 12 of 19

27

28

sufficient to permit ORGANIXX to identify the Covered Products at issue. ORGANIXX shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating ORGANIXX's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

## 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, 8.1 on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and ORGANIXX and its past, present and future respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, real or alleged alter egos, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of ORGANIXX), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, representatives, attorneys, affiliates and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself, its respective past, present and future officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, agents, representatives, attorneys, predecessors, successors, assigns, affiliates, real or alleged alter egos(collectively, the "ERC Releasees"), and in the public interest, hereby fully and without limitation releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, covenants, warranties, promises, undertakings, obligations, accounts, judgments, losses, debts, liens, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted of every kind or nature whatsoever, in law, Page 13 of 19

equity or otherwise, whether known or unknown, vested or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, that any of the ERC Releasees ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall or may have from the beginning of the world up to and including the Effective Date ("Claims"), concerning the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding lead and/or cadmium on the Covered Products, and/or any other alleged failure to comply with Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations with respect to the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to future exposures to Covered Products as may be set forth in the Notices.

- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf and on behalf of the ERC Releasees, and
  ORGANIXX on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all Claims they may
  have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of
  seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notices and
  Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in
  Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent
  Judgment. Further, ERC, on behalf of itself and the ERC Releasees, and not on behalf of the
  general public, hereby releases and discharges ORGANIXX from any and all Claims that were
  asserted, or that could have been asserted, for any alleged violations of any statutory or
  common law arising from alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products
  manufactured, distributed, or sold by ORGANIXX before the Effective Date.
- 8.3 It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself and the ERC Releasees, and ORGANIXX on behalf of itself and the Released Parties, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and ORGANIXX acknowledge that the Claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself and the ERC Releasees, and ORGANIXX on behalf of itself and the Released Parties, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- 8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and Complaint.
- 8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of ORGANIXX's products other than the Covered Products.

#### 9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

#### FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400

San Diego, CA 92108

Ph: (619) 500-3090

Email: chris erc501c3@yahoo.com

27 28

26

| With a copy to:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| RICHARD M. FRANCO<br>LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6500 Estates Drive<br>Oakland, CA 94611   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ph: (510) 684-1022<br>Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOR EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and doing business as ORGANIXX                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jonathan Hunsaker   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TeriAnn Trevenen Epigenetic Labs, LLC   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suite 1043, Mail Box 4470   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297 Kingsbury Grade<br>Stateline, NV 89449  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| With a copy to:<br>NEAL H. KLAUSNER   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Email: nklausner@dglaw.com  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STUART FRIEDEL Email: sfriedel@dglaw.com  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAVIS & GILBERT LLP   |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York, NY 10019  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ph: 212-468-4992  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. COURT APPROVAL  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consent Judgment.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,               |  |  |  |  |  |
| the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible |  |  |  |  |  |
| prior to the hearing on the motion.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| void and have no force or effect.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Page 16 of 19 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

#### 14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

## 15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

#### 16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda

County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action
brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,
penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of
Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment,
but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by
law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

13

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

## 17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, with respect to the allegations in the Notices and Complaint, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, with respect to the allegations in the Notices and Complaint, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

# 18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: 11/27/ ,2018

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEAR

CENTER, IN

1900

|    | l Dated:, 2018   | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | 2  | and doing business as ORGANIXX   |  |
| 3  | 3  |  |  |
| 4  | 4  | Ву:  |  |
| 5  | 5  | Its:   |  |
| 6  | APPROVED AS TO FORM:   |  |  |
| 7  | Dated: 11/27, 2018   | LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO  |  |
| 8  |  | 000  |  |
| 9  | A STATE OF THE STA | By: 12CW   |  |
| 10 |  | Richard M. Franco Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental   |  |
| 11 |  | Research Center, Inc.  |  |
| 12 |  | -  |  |
| 13 | Dated:, 2018   | DAVIS & GILBERT LLP  |  |
| 14 |  | By:  |  |
| 15 |  | Joseph Lewczak   |  |
| 16 |  | Attorneys for Defendant Epigenetic Labs<br>LLC, individually and doing<br>business as ORGANIXX |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 | ORI  | DER AND JUDGMENT   |  |
| 20 | 12   | tion, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is                                       |  |
| 21 | approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.  |  |  |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Dated:, 2018   |  |  |
| 25 |  | Judge of the Superior Court  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
| 1  |  | Page 19 of 19 ATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439  |  |

| Official and the second  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Dated:, 2018   | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and doing business as ORGANIXX                        |  |  |
| 3  | Jonathan Hunsaker   |  |  |
| 4  | By:   |  |  |
| 5  | lts: Managing Member  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| ALLKOVED AS TO FORM:   |   |  |  |
| 11   | LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO   |  |  |
| ii.  | (1) 82112   |  |  |
| 1  | Richard M. Franco   |  |  |
| and the second s | Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental<br>Research Center, Inc.                           |  |  |
|  | research Center, me.  |  |  |
| Dated:   | DAVIS & GILBERT LLP   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  | Ву:   |  |  |
|  | Joseph Lewczak<br>Attorneys for Defendant Epigenetic Labs                               |  |  |
|  | LLC, individually and doing<br>business as ORGANIXX                                     |  |  |
|  | VIOLINGS ES VIVOANIAA   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  | AND JUDGMENT  |  |  |
|  | Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is |  |  |
| approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.  |   |  |  |
| IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND D   | DECREED.  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| Dated:, 2018   | Interest Control  |  |  |
|  | Judge of the Superior Court   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  | Page 19 of 19   |  |  |
| STIPULATEI   | D CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439  |  |  |
| 3  | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Dated:   |  |  |

|          | I Dated:   | , 2018                     | EDIGENETIC I ADS LLC Indicatorio                                 |
|----------|------------|----------------------------|--|
|          | 2          | , 2010                     | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually and doing business as ORGANIXX |
|          | 3          |                            |  |
| 4        | 4          |                            | By:  |
| 5        | 5          |                            | Its:   |
| 6        | APPROVED A | S TO FORM:                 |  |
| 7        | 11         | .7 ,2018                   | LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO                                  |
| 8        |            |                            |  |
| 9        |            |                            | By: TUN  |
| 10       |            |                            | Richard M. Franco Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental           |
| 11       |            |                            | Research Center, Inc.  |
| 12       | Dated:     | . 2018                     | DAVIS & GILBERT LLP  |
| 13       | 11         |                            | OAVIS & GILBERT CLP  |
| 14       |            |                            | By:  |
| 15       |            |                            | Joseph Levezak  Attorneys for Defendant Epigenetic Labs          |
| 16       |            |                            | LLC, individually and doing business as ORGANIXX                 |
| 17       |            |                            |  |
| 18       |            | ORDER                      | AND JUDGMENT   |
| 19<br>20 | Based upo  |                            | and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is               |
| 21       | 11         | gment is hereby entered ac |  |
| 22       | 11         | ED, ADJUDGED AND I         |  |
| 23       |            |                            |  |
| 24       | Dated:     | , 2018                     |  |
| 25       |            |                            | Judge of the Superior Court                                      |
| 26       |            |                            |  |
| 27       |            |                            |  |
| 28       |            |                            |  |
|          |            |                            | Page 19 of 19  |
| 1        |            | STIPULATE                  | D CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439                           |

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY
FEB 22 2019
FEB 22 2019

| 1   | Dated:, 2018  | EPIGENETIC LABS LLC, individually                                      |        |
|-----|---|--|--------|
| 2   |   | and doing business as ODGANITVY  | j (    |
| 3   |   | Jonathan Hunsaker  | CLUM   |
| 4   |   | By:  | 20     |
| 5   |   | Its: Managing Member   | 8      |
| 6   | APPROVED AS TO FORM:  |  | 1      |
| 7   | Dated: 11/27 ,2018  | LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO  | MIC    |
| 8   |   | 2 -  | 739    |
| 9   |   | By: Richard M. Franco  | Deputy |
| 10  |   | Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental                                   | 1      |
| 11  |   | Research Center, Inc.  |        |
| 12  | Dated:, 2018  | DAVIS & GILBERT LLP  |        |
| 14  |   | D.   |        |
| 15  |   | By:<br>Joseph Lewczak  |        |
| 16  |   | Attorneys for Defendant Epigenetic Labs<br>LLC, individually and doing | -      |
| 17  |   | business as ORGANIXX   |        |
| 18  |   |  |        |
| 19  |   | AND JUDGMENT   |        |
| 20  |   | nd good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is                      |        |
| 21  | approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. |  |        |
| 22  | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DE                               | ECREED.  |        |
| 23  | 2/10  | / // /,  |        |
| 24  | Dated: 2/19, 20189  | Judge of the Superior Court  |        |
| 25  |   | - Dage of the Superior Court   | -      |
| 26  |   |  |        |
| 27  |   |  |        |
| 28  |   |  |        |
| 11- |   | ige 19 of 19 CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG18928439                      |        |

# **EXHIBIT A**

ì

ં

-

## LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO

## 6500 ESTATES DRIVE OAKLAND, CA 94611 510.684.1022 RICK@RFRANCOLAW.COM

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx P.O. Box 4470 Stateline, NV 89449

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx 297 Kingsbury Grade, Suite 1043 Stateline, NV 89449

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx 4610 Prime Parkway McHenry, IL 60050

Northwest Registered Agent, LLC. (Registered Agent for Epigenetic Labs LLC, Sacramento County individually and doing business as Organixx) 401 Ryland Street, Suite 200A Reno, NV 89502

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.montercy.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Kathryn L. Turner, Chief Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyCrimProp65@sandicgo.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

#### VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Office of the California Attorney General

## VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

District Attorneys of Select California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

# Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Organixx Bone Broth Protein Smooth Chocolate Lead
- 2. Organixx OrganiGreens Activated Green Juice Lead, Cadmium
- 3. Organixx Detexx' Colon And Lymphatic System Support Lead
- 4. Organixx OrganiZymes Live Digestive Enzymes Lead
- 5. Organixx Bone Broth Protein Creamy Vanilla Lead
- 6. Organixx Multi-Vita-Maxx Daily Multi-Vitamin Plus Mineral Lead
- 7. Organixx Turmeric 3D Fermentation Activated Immune Support Lead
- 8. Organixx 7M + Immune Support Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

Cadmium was officially listed as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity and male reproductive toxicity on May 1, 1997, while cadmium and cadmium compounds were listed as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1987.

This letter is a notice to the Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violator currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to the Violator.

The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which has exposed and continues to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals, lead and cadmium. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this

notice result from the recommended use of these products by consumers. The route of exposure to lead and cadmium has been through ingestion. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to lead and cadmium. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons ingesting these products that they are being exposed to lead and cadmium. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since May 29, 2015, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall, and is located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. 619-500-3090. ERC has retained me in connection with this matter. We suggest that communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Rick Franco

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx, and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

## CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx

- I, Rick Franco, declare:
- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: May 29, 2018

Rick Franco

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On May 29, 2018, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO
Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and
doing business as Organixx
P.O. Box 4470
Stareline, NV 89449

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx 297 Kingsbury Grade, Suite 1043 Stateline, NV 89449 Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx 4610 Prime Parkway McHenry, IL 60050

Northwest Registered Agent, LLC. (Registered Agent for Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organiax) 401 Ryland Street, Suite 200A Reno, NV 89502

On May 29, 2018, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://osg.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorncy General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On May 29, 2018, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 minimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@oo.monterey.cz.us

. . . .

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Maric Schubert, District Attorney Snoramento County 901 G Street Snoramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Kathryn L. Turner, Chief Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker. Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer Environmental@sjcds.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Senta Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Vontura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On May 29, 2018, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on May 29, 2018, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Dunwoody

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. May 29, 2018

Page 9

. . . .

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suze 900 Orkland, CA 94612

Direct America, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markletville, CA 96126

District Astronomy, Associar County 768 Court Street, Suric 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Busic County 25 County Corner Drive, Suite 245 Decyclic, CA 959es

District Attorney, Calairess. County 891 Mountain Reach Read San Andreas, CA 95749

District Allermey, Cohara County 344 Fifth Stock Suse; 401 Cohara, CA 95922

District Anomey, Del Name County 450 H Street, Room 177 Crescost City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Donado-County 515 Maior Street Paccryille, CA 95667

District Amoney, Frence County 2226 Tuber Street, Serie 1000 Freuno, CA 93721

District Attentory, Glenn County Post Office Ben 430 William CA 99003

District Assemby, Hembolds Ceastly X25 5th Street 4th Floor Facilia, CA 95991

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Mass Street, Sic 102

El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, byo County P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attentoy, Kern County 1215 Treation Avenue Bokendield, CA 93301

District Anothery, Kings County 1460 West Lacey Bookward Stanfact, CA 93230 District Attenties, Labe County 255 N. Forbes Sames Lakerport, CA 95453

District Assemby, Les Angelet County Hall of Jurisez 231 West Temple St., Sac 1280 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Assertey, Madesa County 309 West Venemic Avenue Madesa CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Cepter Drive, Rosen 130 Sun Rafael, CA 94903

District Attention, Mariposa County Post Office Box 750 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Odisee Box 1000 Ukish, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced Creaty 150 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95140

District Astorney, Medec County 294 5 Court Street, Room 302 Alvano, CA 96101-4020

District Atterney, Mose County Past Office Box 687 Bridgeport, CA 93517

Dotriol Attorney, Nevada County 201 Constancial Swet Newda City, CA 99990

District Athereey, Overage Covery 401 West Chric Coster Drave Seate Assa, CA 92381

District Attorney, Placer County 19819 Austice Center Drive, Sec 249 Rancville, CA 95678

District Athoney, Plumes County 520 Main Street, Roses 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benim County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Flore Hollister, CA 9502) District Attenney San Bernardino County 303 West Third Street San Remadion, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Diogo County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1309 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Misser-County 400 County Ctr., 3xf Floor Redwood City, CA 94563

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 9600)

District Assoracy, Signs County 100 Counthouse Square, 2<sup>rd</sup> Floor Downgrydde, CA 9593e

Diemer Attention, Sinknyou County Nest Office Bux 986 Yorks, CA 96997

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, See 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attenticy, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Str 300 Medicino, CA 95354

District Attackey, Sector County 465 2<sup>rd</sup> Sorget Yulis Cay, CA 95991

District Assurbey, Televisia County Post Office Bux 319 Red Bhaff, CA 95080

District Attorney, Trinity County Past Office Box 340 Warvarville, CA 96095

District Attorney, Tuskumus County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attendey, Yelse County 215 Fifth Steet, Suite 152 Marynville, CA 95901

Lot Angeles City Attemny's Office City Hall Sase 300 N. Maio Servet, State 500 Los Angeles, CA 99012

San Francisco, City Atterney City Rail, Recent 234 1 De Carbon & Goodlest PL San Francisco, CA 94162 Sun Jose City Attorney's Office 200 Seet Seeta Class Street, 16th Floor Sun Jose, CA 95113

# **EXHIBIT B**

## LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO

## 6500 ESTATES DRIVE OAKLAND, CA 94611 510.684.1022 RICK@RFRANCOLAW.COM

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO
Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and
doing business as Organixx
P.O. Box 4470
Stateline, NV 89449

Current President or CEO
Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and
doing business as Organixx
297 Kingsbury Grade, Suite 1043
Stateline, NV 89449

Current President or CEO
Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and
doing business as Organixx
4610 Prime Parkway
McHenry, IL 60050

Northwest Registered Agent, LLC. Paul E. Zellerbach
(Registered Agent for Epigenetic Labs LLC, Riverside County individually and doing business as Organixx)

401 Ryland Street, Suite 200A Prop65@rivcoda.org

### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney Alameda County 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Mark Ankcom, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Daibey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

#### VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Office of the California Attorney General

#### VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

District Attorneys of Select California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

## Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

#### Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

### Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx

The product that is the subject of this notice and the chemical in that product identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

## Organixx OrganiGreens Pure Activated Green Juice

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to the Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed product. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violator currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to the Violator.

The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed product, which has exposed and continues to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of this product by consumers. The route of exposure to lead has been through ingestion. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to lead. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons ingesting this product that they are being exposed to lead. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since August 21, 2015, as well as every day since the

product was introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed product so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above product in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall, and is located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. 619-500-3090. ERC has retained me in connection with this matter. We suggest that communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Rick Franco

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail as Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On August 21, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT: "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx P.O. Box 4470 Stateline, NV 89449

. . . .

Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organizx 297 Kingsbury Grade, Suite 1043 Stateline, NV 89449 Current President or CEO Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx 4610 Prime Parkway McHenry, IL 60050

Northwest Registered Agent, LLC. (Registered Agent for Epigenetic Labs LLC, individually and doing business as Organixx) 401 Ryland Street, Suite 200A Reno, NV 89502

On August 21, 2018, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ea.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On August 21, 2018 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney Alameda County 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

17 7

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA(g)co.monterey.ca,us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Josquin Courty 222 E. Weber Avenue. Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@isantacruzcounty us

Stephan R. Passalaequa, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 efepd@yolocounty.org